IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

WEDNESDAY, THE 29TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 8TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

R.P.No. 1024 of 2006(N)

---

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.4123/2006 Dated 25/08/2006

. .....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3 IN THE WPC 4123 OF 2006

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
   PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
   FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A & E),
   KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE SUB TREASURY OFFICER,
   PENSION PAYMENT TREASURY, ALAPPUZHA.

BY SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER (FINANCE) SRI.M.P.PRAKASH

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONERS IN WPC 4123 OF 2006

---

1. PROF.SREENIVASA PAI,
   S/O.V.NARAYANA PAI, RESIDING AT "SREYAS",
   KAITHAVANA, ALAPPUZHA.

2. PROF.V.RADHAKIRHNAN,
   S/O.VELAYUDHAN NAIR, RESIDING AT "SWAPNA",
   M.O.WARD, ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.V.K.PEERMOHAMMED KHAN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER,J

---

R.P.NO. 1024 OF 2006

IN

W.P.(C) No.4123 OF 2006

---

DATED THIS THE 29TH NOVEMBER,2006

**ORDER**

Heard learned Special Government Pleader (Finance) on behalf of the review petitioner and the learned counsel for the writ petitioner.

2. It is submitted by the learned Government Pleader that the review petitioners may not be able to revise the pensionary benefits payable to the writ petitioner in terms of G.O.(P).No.180/2006/Fin., dated 18.04.2006, within the time stipulated in the judgment, since a separate order on revision of pension will have to be issued in view of Clause 3.13 in the above Government Order. It is further submitted that steps have already been initiated to issue separate order as envisaged in Clause 3.13 and that as soon as the said order is issued the directions contained in the judgment would be complied with. The Government requires three months time for this purpose. It will be ensured that appropriate orders in this regard are issued by the review petitioners within three months from today. The above submissions are recorded.

3. The directions contained in the judgment are clarified to the above extent. Review petitioners shall do the needful within three months as undertaken by them.

Review petition is closed.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

dvs